

~~SECRET~~

INSCOM

GRILL FLAME

PROGRAM

SESSION REPORT

CLASSIFIED BY: MSG, DAMI-ISH
DATED: 051630ZJUL78

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS REVIEW ON: May 2001
6F-041-81

~~SECRET~~

GRILL FLAME

~~SECRET~~

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-45

1. (S/NOFORN) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information on two target areas of interest.
2. (S/NOFORN) The remote viewer's impressions of the target are provided as raw intelligence data, and as such, have not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.
3. (S/NOFORN) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document Grill Flame Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
4. (S/NOFORN) Following is a transcript of the viewer's impressions during the remote viewing session. At TAB A are drawings made by the remote viewer reference his impressions of the target site. At TAB B is target cuing information provided the remote viewer. At TAB C are analyst's comments.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

SRCON

~~SECRET~~

TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-45

SG1A

1. (S/NOFORN) Source #27.5 provided the following information regarding the vicinity of UTM coordinate [REDACTED] on 080900 May 1981 and 21 May 1981, respectively. Attention is invited to sketch #1, this summary.

a. (S/NOFORN) 080900 May 1981: Source described a road intersection to the south of a small older city which had some modern buildings. Source said that to the right and left of the road intersection were two "temporary military bivouac areas", one on each side of the intersection (marked area "A" and area "B", sketch #1). Source stated that inside these bivouac areas were small clusters of U/I equipment. Source observed no significant activity at the target area, just people moving around. To the north of the intersection along the edge of the city was observed an area of small "shantys" having slanted tin roofs resembling a "shanty town".

b. (S/NOFORN) 21 May 1981: Source #27.5 observed much more movement of people from the northwest to the southeast along one of the roads of the intersection (see arrow and note, sketch #1). People were moving in groups of up to 10 clustered together, and were carrying "soft" objects having no hard outline (like as of cloth) on their shoulders and backs. The people were observed to be wearing dark clothing of similar style and appearance. The groups of people were clustered informally and had no singular identity.

SG1A

2. (S/NOFORN) Source #27.5 provided the following information regarding the vicinity of UTM coordinates [REDACTED] on 080900 May 1981 and 21 May 1981, respectively. Attention is invited to sketch #2, this summary. (Analyst note: notation circle A with arrow on sketch #2 denotes position of observation of 8 May 1981 data by source).

a. (S/NOFORN) 080900 May 1981: Source described an airfield situated within a flat area having "rugged hills to the rear", and an otherwise undescribed city in the distance. At the airfield, source observed persons walking around inside blast bay walls and working on aircraft. Fuel trucks were moving in the area, and a "fighter aircraft" was observed taking off. Essentially, these observations were described as normal daily activity.

b. (S/NOFORN) 21 May 1981: Source observed what he perceived as an airstrike to the north, at which location black oily smoke was seen rising at the edge of the mountains more than 5 miles but less than 10 miles from the airfield. At another location, it appeared that "light strafing runs" were being made against a similar, but different, target. Both of these airstrike locations were reported to be occupied by three or four buildings "lower than the surrounding rocky, hilly countryside". Some form of trucks were parked at the buildings, along with "fancy kind" of guidance system having items like radar reflectors or parabolic antennae. The strafing aircraft appeared to not be concerned with the aircraft located on the ground at the nearby airfield reported above.


~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

c. (S/NOFORN) 21 May 1981 continued: The source described the aircraft making strafing runs as being a single seater having twin tails, droop nose, large engine pods, and resembling a U.S. TOMCAT fighter. The pilot was describe as a dark haired, gray eyed, bushy browed Caucasian wearing an "airforce type" flight suit and white helmet. The pilot emoted a calm, efficient and very professional attitude having no fear but possibly a subliminal feeling of vengeance. The pilot's purpose was to perform a pre-emptive raid of some kind, but the pilot did not know the reasons therefore. The pilot was observed to be taking no evasive action (as though) he had "air superiority and knew it, therefore 'no sweat'". Source therefore suspected the airstrike was a surprise. The pilot expected to achieve his objective - preemption - and therefore guarantee continued freedom of movement (of unknown forces) or freedom of the skys (ie., maintaining air superiority). However, source could not reconcile this perception with the pilot's overall lack of concern for the aircraft observed at the airfield. The aircraft was described further as having markings consisting of a white background with a dark square inside. Within the square was a "blurry polka-dot" shape. This (design) was located high up on one of the tails of the aircraft. Source also believed (he) observed a star (associated with the tail design). Source stated this aircraft originated at an airstrip located in a generally westerly direction from the target and located at a distance of somewhat less than 100 miles.

~~SECRET~~

TAB





TAB

~~SECRET~~

TARGET CUING INFORMATION

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-45

1. (S/NOFORN) Information provided the remote viewer prior to the session is documented as a pre-session briefing and is included in the transcript.
2. (S/NOFORN) During the session the viewer was asked to elaborate on those portions of his impressions which seemed relevant to the task at hand.

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

TAB

~~SECRET~~

CONTROL ANALYST COMMENTS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-45

1. (S/NOFORN) Control analyst #31 did not monitor this session live. Prior to the session, Interviewer #66 was provided a slip of paper upon which was written the following:

a. Targeting Briefing

1) Located in each of these sealed envelopes is a UTM coordinate which pinpoints your targets.

2) There are two different targets. We will address one at a time.

b. EEI: Applicable for each envelope.

1) Describe the general area of the target.

2) Ascertain local activity at the target.

3) Move to 21 May 1981 and ascertain activity.

2. (S/NOFORN) Simultaneous to the above, Interviewer #66 was provided two sealed manila envelopes, each containing a slip of paper having the respective UTM coordinate written thereon. The only exterior markings on each envelope were a Roman Number I and II respectively, an order to facilitate discrete identification of each target coordinate within the session, and to allow cross-correlation of descriptive data to the appropriate target area.

Kenneth V. Bell, Jr.
KENNETH V. BELL, JR.
CPT, MI
Control Analyst

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS
~~SECRET~~